

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,184	08/06/2003	Murali M. Karamchedu	109166-130890	9182
10/635,184 08/06/2003 Murali M. Karamchedu 25943 7590 08/02/2007 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204	EXAMINER			
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE			ENG, DAVID Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1011121110,			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,184	KARAMCHEDU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	•
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 	vn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		lanting No.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •	
application from the International Bureau	•	erved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,	eived.
·		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date
Notice of Bransperson's Fatent Drawing Neview (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/2004		mal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTIONClaim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20, it is not clear Applicants meant two input users or two user inputs. Further, the second step is no different than the third step because assigning is no different than associating.

In claim 21, the last step is not related to any other steps because there is no clear antecedent basis for selectable attributes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eberhard (USP 6,331,867).

Claims 1, 13, 20, 25, 37, 44

Eberhard teaches:

Art Unit: 2155

A computer implemented method comprising:

determining a context ("user block a passage" line 16-17 abstract) to be applied to an electronic mail message (line 18, abstract);

identifying one or more elements (identifying a word in downloaded book which the user desires to consult a dictionary for definition) within the electronic mail message based at least in part upon the context; and

associating one or more semantic qualifiers (the definition of the identified word, see line 15 abstract) with the one or more elements to provide contextualization of at least a portion of the electronic mail message.

In Eberhard, the reader is able to block the word within the downloaded book. The definition of the identified word is then shown to the reader. That feature is implemented in the downloaded book. It is not clear whether that same feature is implemented in the transmitted email having the word. It is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporated the same feature in the transmitted email having the same word so that the email recipient is able to lookup the definition of the word as the sender.

As to claim 13, the steps of claim 13 are inherent in the process of claim 1. For example, the first step of receiving an indicating from a user identifying text elements is an inherent step of identifying elements by the user in the second step of claim 1.

Claim 20 is no different than claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,184

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 25, 37 and 44, the process of Eberhard is implemented by a processing system having storage for storing instructions and processor for executing the instructions.

Claims 2-12, 14-17, 26-36, 38-41

The "wherein clauses" merely consist of non-functional descriptive material.

Claims 18, 19, 21, 42, 43, 45

It is well known that a word could have different definitions (attributes) in a dictionary. Associating attributes is no different than associating definitions. Displaying different attributes is no different than displaying definitions.

Claims 22-24, 47-48

The format, such as header, subject, from/to and message body, of an email is well known in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG whose telephone number is 571-272-3984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SALEH NAJJAR, can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/635,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER